

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES HEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Peten and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/695,996	10/30/2003	Takashi Miyamori	244612US2CONT	5652	
22850	7590 09/23/2005		EXAMINER		
OBLON, SI 1940 DUKE	PIVAK, MCCLELLAND	KIM, KENNETH S			
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		2111		
			DATE MAILED: 09/23/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	Application No. Applicant(s)		····			
Office Action Summary		10/695,9	96	MIYAMORI, TAKASHI				
		Examine	7	Art Unit				
		Kenneth S		2111				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on the	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress			
WHI(- Exte after - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR FOR EVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicating operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF TH CFR 1.136(a). In no evi ion. period will apply and w statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tim ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from dication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,			
Status								
1)🖾	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	06 September 2	<u>2005</u> .					
2a)⊠		This action is r		•				
3)[Since this application is in condition for a	nce this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 21-50 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 49 is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 21-34,39-44 and 50 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 35-38 and 45-48 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicat	ion Papers							
10)□	The specification is objected to by the Example The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the compact that one declaration is objected to by the coath or declaration is objected to by the Example Theorem is a control of the coath or declaration is objected to be control of the coath or declaration is objected to by the Example Theorem is a control of the coath or declaration is objected to be control of the coath or declaration is objected to be control of the coath or declaration is objected to be control of the coath or declaration is objected to be control of the coath or declaration is objected to be control of the coath or declaration is objected to be control of the coath or declaration is objected to be control of the coath or declaration is objected to be control of the coath or declaration is objected to be control of the coath or declaration.	accepted or b) to the drawing(s) borrection is requir	pe held in abeyance. See ed if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 C	• •			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S r No(s)/Mail Date <u>Oct3003</u> .	8)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa		D-152)			
PTOL-326 (R		ice Action Summa	ry Pa	t of Paper No./Mail D	ate 20050916			

Application/Control Number: 10/695,996

Art Unit: 2111

1. Claims 21-50 for examination.

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

Page 2

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 21-34, 39-44, and 50 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1-20 of U. S. Patent No. 6,675,290 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows:

Both sets of claims recite the operation of a first processor and a second processor in two modes, in which the second processor is supplied with a signal to execute instruction in an extended instruction or no operation from the first processor, wherein, the extended instruction contains the instruction for the second processor in one mode and contains instructions for the first processor only in the other mode.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent.

Application/Control Number: 10/695,996 Page 3

Art Unit: 2111

4. Claims 35-38 and 45-48 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

- 5. Claim 49 is allowed over the prior art of record.
- 6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 21-34, 39-44, and 50 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kenneth S KIM whose telephone number is (571) 272-3627. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30-17:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Rinehart can be reached on (571) 272-3632. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are ((571) 273-8300 for all communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2100.

KENNETH S. KIM
PRIMARY EXAMINER